Journal of Global Trends in Social Science

press

https://doi.org/10.70731/22dhf365

"Rooster Coop" and "Jungle" : Democracy, and The Paradox of Freedom in *The White Tiger*

Wufeng Lei [a], Shanshan Yang [b,*]

[a] *Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, China*
[b] *Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea*

KEYWORDS

The White Tiger;
Caste;
Democracy;
Neoliberalism;
Postcolonial Modernity;
Subaltern Agency

ABSTRACT

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* critically exposes the contradictions of India's postcolonial modernization through three key dimensions. The first, symbolized by the "Rooster Coop", reveals how entrenched caste hierarchies undermine India's democratic politics, turning elections into rituals that maintain systemic inequality. The second dimension examines the alienation of subaltern agency, illustrating how freedom is entangled with violence and moral ambiguity in a society governed by brutal "jungle laws".The third centers on Balram's self-narrative as a "half-baked" reflection of India's fractured modernity, caught between feudal legacies and neoliberal aspirations. Through the protagonist's fractured journey, Adiga exposes a deeper crisis in political modernity: when democratic institutions are transplanted without dismantling structural inequalities, democracy becomes a hollow formalism that legitimizes structural violence rather than emancipates. This critique transcends the Indian context, offering a cautionary message for all societies attempting democratization without addressing the foundational demands of justice and equality.

INTRODUCTION

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* (Adiga, 2008) is structured as an epistolary novel, consisting of correspondence from the protagonist, Balram Halwai, addressed to Wen Jiabao, the Premier of China, during his visit to India to examine its entrepreneurial accomplishments. Balram recounts his journey from a poor, low-caste villager in "the Darkness" of rural Bihar to a successful businessman in Bangalore—a transformation made possible through calculated deception and the brutal murder of his employer. On the surface, the novel appears to follow a classic narrative of upward mobility, echoing the global neoliberal celebration of individual ambition and entrepreneurial success(Birch, 2012). Yet Adiga's narrative, far from endorsing this triumphant arc, actively subverts it. With biting irony and a self-reflexive narrator, *The White Tiger* offers a dark satire of India's postcolonial modernization. The novel interrogates the ideological underpinnings of freedom, democracy, and equality by exposing how caste-based oppression is neither dismantled nor superseded in the democratic era, but merely repackaged through new structures of consent, fear, and symbolic violence. Unlike many Indian English novels that adopt a cosmopolitan gaze, Adiga's work remains deeply rooted in the local: its characters, metaphors, and moral tensions all reflect a society grappling with the persistent legacy of caste and the seductive violence of neoliberal modernity.

Using the metaphors of the "Rooster Coop"(Adiga, 2008, p. 173), "Jungle"(Adiga, 2008, p. 276), and "half-

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: yss337@yonsei.ac.kr

Received 27 March 2025; Received in revised from 9 May 2025; Accepted 16 May 2025; Available online 31 May 2025.

baked"(Adiga, 2008, p. 10), the novel critiques the persistence of caste-based hierarchies and the failure of democratic institutions under the weight of entrenched social stratification. Within the framework of neoliberal ideology, the pursuit of personal freedom is distorted into violent and predatory self-assertion—a form of alienated resistance. As a "half-baked" individual, Balram reflects the condition of a half-made Indian society, and together, they embody the paradoxes and tragic foundations of India's postcolonial modernity. Previous scholarship on *The White Tiger* has primarily focused on its satirical tone(Sebastian, 2009), its treatment of class inequality(Lochner, 2014; Ratti, 2020), or its narrative experimentation(Khan, 2024; Nandi, 2017). However, few critics have systematically examined how the novel functions as a structural allegory of failed modernity, where democratic forms serve as ideological masks for systemic violence.

This paper argues that *The White Tiger* is not a narrative of personal liberation, but a postcolonial critique of systemic entrapment, in which freedom becomes indistinguishable from predation. Through the interwoven metaphors of the "Rooster Coop" and "jungle," the novel reveals how democratic forms and economic mobility operate as ideological veils for enduring social hierarchies. The analysis proceeds in three parts: the first examines the "Rooster Coop" as a metaphor for caste ideology that governs consent and subjugation; the second examines the "Jungle" as a parody of liberation through betrayal and murder. the third analyzes Balram as a "half-baked" subject whose violent self-fashioning reflects the contradictions of India's fractured "half-baked" modernity.

STRUGGLES IN THE "ROOSTER COOP": THE DILEMMA OF MODERN DEMOCRATIC POLITICS IN INDIA

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* unfolds through the self-narrated life story of Balram Halwai, a man born in the village of Laxmangarh in Bihar during the dawn of India's so-called modern age. As the nation transitioned from British colonial rule to independence, nationalist elites adopted Western-style democratic ideals, transplanting the rhetoric of "liberty" and "democracy" into the Indian body politic with the promise of building a modern New India. In the novel, democratic elections are repeatedly staged as spectacles: campaign slogans and banners plaster village walls, and optimistic elite characters like Ashok proclaim, "The way things are changing in India now, this place is going to be like America in ten years"(Adiga, 2008, p. 89). Yet, rather than fostering genuine progress, democratic institutions become co-opted by caste hierarchies and reduced to farcical rituals.

India's traditional caste system is deeply rooted in religious doctrine and socio-cultural tradition. As a foundational mode of political organization in pre-modern Indian society, the caste hierarchy was initially underpinned by theological principles—particularly the doctrine of Brahman-Atman unity(Slater, 2019)—which not only delineated the duties and privileges of each caste group but also lent moral legitimacy to the stratified social order. Over time, however, the ruling elites increasingly instrumentalized this religious framework to entrench their dominance, resulting in the ossification of India's social structure. In *The White Tiger*, this deeply embedded hierarchy is metaphorically referred to as "the Rooster Coop"—described as "the greatest thing to come out of this country in the ten thousand years of its history" (Adiga, 2008, p. 173).

The Rooster Coop functions as a rigid system predicated on birth, one that prescribes occupational roles, marital norms, and life trajectories according to caste, thereby integrating itself seamlessly into India's multifarious hierarchies and becoming the bedrock of its social machinery. As sociologist Surinder S. Jodhka observes, caste operates simultaneously as both a structural institution and an ideological formation: As an institution, caste provides a framework for organizing and classifying social groups; as an ideology, it constitutes a set of beliefs and values that legitimize and reproduce existing patterns of inequality in Indian society(Bapuji et al., 2024; Jodhka, 2018).

While the legal foundations of the caste system were ostensibly dismantled in modern India, its structural logic remained deeply ingrained in the fabric of Indian society. Following the end of British colonial rule, India formally embarked on a path toward modernity under the banners of freedom and parliamentary democracy. Under the persistent influence of what *The White Tiger* allegorically names the "Rooster Coop", India's postcolonial social organization continued to be defined by rigid hierarchies. This system simultaneously safeguarded the privileges of the upper castes and systematically denied the lower castes access to basic forms of social mobility and life chances. As the novel vividly suggests, those born into lower castes remained perpetually vulnerable—"gentle animals"(Adiga, 2008, p. 30) always on the verge of slaughter—and trapped in an unrelenting darkness.

Laxmangarh, Balram Halwai's birthplace, serves as a microcosm where the structural contradictions of India's postcolonial society are visibly and acutely realized. Although officially described as a "typical Indian village paradise"(Adiga, 2008, p. 20) on the banks of the Ganges, it is in fact depicted as "the Darkness"(Adiga, 2008, p. 35)—a place saturated with oppression, servitude, vast economic disparity, and endemic corruption. Within this social order, individual agency is severely curtailed, and the possibility of choosing one's life path is practically nonexistent. Because of his birth into the low-caste Halwai community, Balram and his family are consigned to sugar boiling—a form of hereditary labor. His uncles toil until their backs are bent beyond repair, and his father is emaciated to the point of resembling a reed stalk. Meanwhile, the village's landlords—known by animalistic monikers such as the Water Buffalo, the Stork, the Wild Boar, and the Raven—exploit the caste hierarchy to extract every last rupee from the villagers. These four predators, referred to as "human spiders" (Adiga, 2008, p. 51), embody the parasitic nature of the elite, forcing impoverished families to leave the village in search of precarious urban labor.

Trapped in systemic poverty and structural violence, the society depicted in *The White Tiger* stands in stark dissonance with the ideals of Western liberal democracy. Modern democratic theory presupposes the foundation of capitalist development, liberal civic culture, and social equality—conditions absent in postcolonial India. As Schumpeter observes, "historically, the modern democracy rose along with capitalism, and in causal connection with it"(Schumpeter, 1976, p. 296); yet in Laxmangarh, democracy unfolds amid infrastructural decay, endemic malnutrition, and ceremonial institutions devoid of function. Here, democratic form is divorced from democratic substance. What emerges is a simulation of democracy—a hollow performance enacted atop economic deprivation and caste-bound hierarchy. The village, emblematic of India's "Darkness", lays bare the fatal contradiction of attempting to implement liberal democracy in a society still governed by feudal residue and postcolonial disintegration.

India's adoption of liberal democracy occurred in the absence of its necessary foundations—economic development, social equality, and a unified political culture. What emerged was not participatory governance but a fractured electoral spectacle, sustained by caste hierarchies, elite manipulation, and civic fragmentation. *The White Tiger* incisively satirizes this condition through the farcical elections in Laxmangarh, where lower-caste citizens are reduced to biometric tokens in a system they do not control. "I am India's most faithful voter"(Adiga, 2008, p. 102), Balram quips, "and I still have not seen the inside of a voting booth" (ibid). Here, democracy operates less as representation than as ritual—an apparatus that conceals exclusion beneath the rhetoric of freedom.

Despite the formal adoption of democratic institutions, caste in India has not been dismantled but rather reinscribed within electoral politics, serving as a critical axis of political mobilization. As Surinder S. Jodhka observes, Indian democracy tends to frame equality not among individuals, but among caste-based groups, thereby reinforcing communal boundaries rather than dissolving them(Jodhka, 2018; Roohi, 2016). *The White Tiger* powerfully dramatizes this paradox: while populist slogans advocate for the poor to rise against the rich, real political power remains tightly held by high-caste elites. Village landlords, symbolic of entrenched dominance, manipulate electoral outcomes through strategic party alliances and covert patronage networks. Their flexible allegiances underscore a deeper truth—democracy in such a stratified context becomes an instrument of elite reproduction rather than popular empowerment. The "Rooster Coop" metaphor thus encapsulates a system where democratic forms persist, but liberal egalitarianism is neutralized by the inertia of caste hierarchies.

India's democratic project, far from dismantling hierarchies, has ossified them into ritualized forms. Rather than empowering the marginalized, democracy—under the logic of the "Rooster Coop"—has devolved into hollow proceduralism: a spectacle of slogans and elections devoid of substantive change. As *The White Tiger* trenchantly notes, "typhoid, cholera, and election fever" (Adiga, 2008, p. 178)afflict the nation, with the latter reducing civic engagement to compulsive, powerless discourse. Belief in equal suffrage seduces lower-caste citizens into a deeper cycle of disenfranchisement—sometimes fatally, as in the case of a rickshaw driver beaten to death for asserting his electoral will. Thus, the Rooster Coop evolves from metaphor into mechanism: a systemic apparatus that converts democracy into a tool of control. In this configuration, democratic form conceals caste violence, electoral rituals legitimate elite power, and liberal ideals are co-opted to maintain domination. In *The White Tiger*, democracy is not a pathway to liberation but a trap—an illusion of agency that sustains the very hierarchies it claims to abolish.

Democratic institutions do not restrain authoritarianism and the Socialist Party, despite its repeated electoral triumphs, devolves into a conduit for systemic violence and corruption. The Great Socialist embodies a grotesque theater of unchecked power, whose very expression—capable of signaling peace or threat—highlights the arbitrariness of authority in a polity where democracy blurs into personal rule. As Balram chillingly observes, a mere twitch in the ruler's face can dictate another's fate, "This face says that it is now at peace—and you can be at peace too if you follow the owner of that face. But the same face can also say, with a little twitch of its features, that it has known the opposite of peace: and it can make this other fate yours too, if it so wishes"(Adiga, 2008, pp. 103–104). The novel thereby reframes India's democratic failure not as the malfunction of imported institutions, but as the exposure of deep-seated structural contradictions. The caste-based "Rooster Coop" is not dismantled by democratic participation; it is reinforced by it. Electoral rituals, rather than disrupting caste hierarchies, function as their latest mechanism of reproduction—stabilizing inequality under the illusion of inclusion. *The White Tiger* thus reveals how democracy, when superimposed upon an unreformed social order, may serve not to emancipate, but to entrench subjugation in more insidious and legitimized forms.

VIOLENCE IN THE "JUNGLE": THE ALIENATION OF SUBALTERN AGENCY IN MODERN INDIA

In Western political thought, democracy is envisioned as the pathway to freedom—yet *The White Tiger* exposes how, when grafted onto a hierarchical society like India's, this ideal collapses into contradiction. Balram Halwai's transformation from servant to entrepreneur ostensibly appears emancipatory, but his ascent is inseparable from violence. The titular "White Tiger" symbolizes both individual awakening and the moral cost of agency within a structurally unjust system. Adiga's novel thus critiques India's democratic project not as failed imitation, but as ethical distortion: where freedom, instead of being realized through democracy, is secured through domination. Balram's rebellion illuminates the limits of liberty in a society where caste remains intact and violence becomes the currency of emancipation. Democracy without structural reform, the novel suggests, risks reproducing inequality under the guise of liberation.

In *The White Tiger*, Aravind Adiga reimagines India's caste system as a durable apparatus of political fear. Though originally couched in religious and metaphysical terms, caste has long functioned as a coercive structure—evolving into latent violence that disciplines through hierarchy and intimidation. The "Rooster Coop" metaphor captures this mechanism: submission is enforced not by consent, but by internalized terror. Drawing on Corey Robin's concept of a "politics of fear" (Robin, 2004), the novel reveals how caste sustains inequality by paralyzing ethical agency. Lower-caste individuals face a cruel binary: complicity in domination, like the brutal Vitiligo-Lips, or fatalistic resignation, as embodied by Balram's family. Caste here is not just social taxonomy—it is a political architecture of fear, silencing dissent and foreclosing moral autonomy.

Balram Halwai's trajectory in *The White Tiger* lays bare the structural violence underpinning India's caste-bound social order. From birth—marked by the absence of a name—his life is scripted by deprivation, humiliation, and constrained mobility. Within this apparatus, figures like Kusum and Vitiligo-Lips exemplify caste's dual mechanisms of control: internalized complicity and overt coercion. In either mode, resistance is rendered perilous, if not impossible. Balram's father envisions education as a path to liberation, but poverty quickly subverts this aspiration. Reduced to a "human spider" in the Stork's teashop and later a subservient chauffeur in Dhanbad, Balram endures systemic indignity—bathing pets, cleaning feet, and surviving as a "village rat". These degradations are not anomalies; they are disciplinary tactics designed to warn others: any challenge to caste boundaries will incur punishment. Fear and humiliation, then, are not byproducts of the system, but its essential instruments of perpetuation.

The "Rooster Coop", as portrayed in *The White Tiger*, functions as a metaphorical architecture of coercive control in Indian society—one that sustains upper-caste dominance through pervasive political fear. This fear, as Corey Robin notes, is "grows out of and helps perpetuate these inequities, which are so helpful to their beneficiaries and so detrimental to their victims"(Robin, 2004, p. 19). Under this regime, lower-caste individuals are systematically intimidated into complicity or submission. The violence that upholds this system is often hidden in plain sight, operating less through overt force than through everyday humiliations and unspoken terror. As the novel grimly observes, "99.9 percent of us are caught in the Rooster Coop"(Adiga, 2008, p. 175), likened to poultry passively awaiting slaughter. Conditioned by a lifetime of subjugation, even the offer of emancipation is refused: "You can put the key of his emancipation in a man's hands and he will throw it back at you with a curse"(Adiga, 2008, p. 176).This internalized servitude reveals a deeper layer of structural violence—one that disarms resistance by embedding fear so thoroughly that oppression is mistaken for order, and captivity for inevitability.

The abolition of the caste system gave way to a society governed by what Adiga calls "jungle law," replacing the rigid structure of the "Rooster Coop" with a more chaotic and brutal social order. Following the end of British colonial rule, India formally abolished the legal foundation of the caste system. Yet, as *The White Tiger* illustrates, its structural logic persisted—mutating rather than disappearing. The novel captures this transformation with stark metaphor: "On the fifteenth of August, 1947…the cages had been let open; and the animals had attacked and ripped each other apart and jungle law replaced zoo law"(Adiga, 2008, p. 63). What emerged was not liberation, but a reconfiguration of violence: from institutionalized caste discipline to a neoliberal jungle governed by predation. The "Rooster Coop" gave way to a society ruled by what Adiga calls "jungle law"—where the logic of domination endures under the veneer of democracy. No longer framed in terms of caste ritual, violence now manifests as structural inequality between the "Big Bellies"(Adiga, 2008, p. 64) and the "Small Bellies"(ibid). As Balram notes, India has reduced itself to two castes and two destinies: to "eat—or get eaten up"(ibid). Here, the democratic order does not transcend hierarchy; it reproduces it in newly brutal, market-driven terms.

The brutality of the "jungle law" breeds a pervasive sense of fear. Yet *The White Tiger* suggests that fear does not solely produce submission—it can also awaken resistance. For Balram, fear is omnipresent, from the black silt consuming his mother's corpse to the paralyzing presence of a lizard in his classroom. These moments of dread lead to literal fainting, signaling the psychic toll of structural oppression. But as Lars Svendsen notes, fear can also serve as a powerful jolt that awakens us from torpor(Svendsen, 2008, p. 40). Indeed, it is fear that ultimately clarifies Balram's understanding of India's brutal realities and propels his desire for liberation. Balram's pursuit of freedom—defined as the conscious refusal to be dominated—manifests as a longing to escape the "Rooster Coop" and become the "master" of his own life. He seeks this transformation through performance: donning the khaki uniform of a driver, suppressing servile habits like chewing betel or scratching himself in public, mimicking the manners and dress of his employer Ashok, even sleeping with a Western woman to affirm his imagined upward mobility. Yet these acts of mimicry only underscore his continued subjugation. Despite his efforts, Balram remains a "village rat" in the eyes of others—tasked with domestic chores, subject to constant rebuke, and never truly seen as equal. His realization is sobering: superficial imitation and fleeting satisfactions cannot dismantle entrenched social hierarchies. The boundary between master and servant remains intact, fortified by structural and symbolic violence.

Balram's final confrontation with the caged Bengal tiger becomes a moment of profound existential recognition. Locking eyes with the animal, he recalls the gaze of his master reflected in the car's rearview mirror—a visual echo that collapses predator and oppressor into one. Overcome by fear, he faints once again. Yet this fear no longer paralyzes; it crystallizes his understanding of India's brutal social order, where life is governed by the binary: eat—or be eaten. In this jungle of neoliberal democracy and caste violence, survival demands transformation—not of structure, but of self. Inspired by the line, "You were looking for the key for years / But the door was always open"(Adiga, 2008, p. 253), Bal-

ram seizes his moment. With a broken whiskey bottle, he murders Ashok, absorbing both his blood and his status. This act marks Balram's metamorphosis—from subjugated driver to entrepreneur Ashok Sharma—emblematizing a violent, performative version of freedom. Yet what he achieves is not emancipation in any moral or political sense, but a predatory liberty born of domination. His ascent reveals the grim irony at the heart of *The White Tiger*: in a society built on structural devouring, to become free is to become a devourer. Violence thus becomes the cost—and condition—of agency.

Balram's pursuit of liberty aligns with Isaiah Berlin's conception of "positive freedom"—the aspiration to become one's own master rather than remain subject to external coercion(Baum & Nichols, 2013, p. 6). As Berlin articulates, the positive sense of freedom stems from "the desire on the part of the individual to be his own master"(ibid). Yet Balram's enactment of this freedom is limited to the impulsive and the immediate. His oft-quoted line—"just for a day, just for an hour, just for a minute, what it means not to be a servant"(Adiga, 2008, p. 321)—reveals a conception of freedom rooted less in rational autonomy than in transient emotional release. True freedom, however, demands more than the satisfaction of momentary desire; it requires the rational transcendence of impulse, the deliberate control of appetite in pursuit of higher ends. Balram's rebellion, by contrast, is marked by contradiction and absurdity: he spits at his master's car only to clean it moments later; he scorns Ashok while mimicking his mannerisms; he condemns oppression even as he reproduces it through violence. In murdering Ashok to assert his agency, Balram does not dismantle the system—he merely inverts his position within it. Thus, the freedom he claims is both morally compromised and structurally assimilative. It does not disrupt the cycle of domination but perpetuates it under a new guise. By becoming a devourer in the jungle he once feared, Balram embodies not emancipation, but a tragic form of self-alienation—an agent of the very violence he sought to escape.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF A "HALF-BAKED" INDIAN: THE TRAGIC ROOTS OF INDIA'S MODERNIZATION

In *The White Tiger*, the term "half-baked" functions as more than a commentary on Balram Halwai's fragmented self-awareness—it serves as a broader metaphor for the contradictions embedded in India's modernization project. The novel constructs a binary between "Light India" and "Darkness India," but this opposition is not absolute; it is porous and entangled. Balram's ascent from the "Darkness" to the "Light"—achieved through an act of violence—exemplifies the moral ambiguity of upward mobility in a society where capitalist success often demands ethical compromise. His life in Bangalore's luminous urban sphere is built on the concealment of his crime, highlighting not only the blind spots of capitalist morality but also the distorted ethical architecture of contemporary Indian society. In this sense, Balram is not simply a product of systemic injustice—he becomes its embodiment. The "half-baked" identity thus signifies not only his incomplete personal liberation but also the fractured and ethically compromised nature of India's modern subjectivity under the shadow of uneven development and unresolved structural inequality.

The White Tiger unfolds through Balram Halwai's self-narrated account, a mode of internal focalization that blurs the lines between confession, justification, and self-fashioning. His narrative voice attempts to reveal, recall, and explain—but ultimately constitutes an unstable construction of the self. It is neither wholly fictional nor reliably realist. Balram proclaims himself a "thinking man and entrepreneur"(Adiga, 2008, p. 3), yet simultaneously undercuts this image by identifying as one among millions of "half-baked" Indians: "half formed and half digested and half correct, mixed up with other half-cooked ideas… this is what you act on and live with" (Adiga, 2008, p. 11). His life story, which he ironically frames as The Autobiography of a Half-Baked Indian, becomes a parable of India's fractured modernization. Through Balram, Adiga dramatizes the epistemological crisis of the Indian subaltern subject: caught between tradition and modernity, ambition and confusion, action and ethical ambiguity. As Pinky Madam remarks, "He's half-baked. The country is full of people like him... And we entrust our glorious parliamentary democracy to characters like these. That's the whole tragedy of this country"(Adiga, 2008, p. 10). In this way, Balram's fragmented self is not simply personal—it is symptomatic of a national condition. The novel thus positions the "half-baked" subject as the tragic emblem of a democracy disconnected from the socio-cultural realities it purports to represent.

The figure of the "half-baked" Indian, as articulated in *The White Tiger*, captures not only Balram's fragmented consciousness but also a broader pathology in India's modernity. Although the country has embarked on a path of modernization since independence, deeply entrenched traditions and social hierarchies have impeded a genuine comprehension of democratic values. As Pinky Madam bluntly observes, "He can read and write, but he doesn't get what he's read"(ibid)—a line that encapsulates the epistemic dissonance plaguing India's subaltern masses. This disjunction is especially evident in the hollow enactment of liberal democracy. Despite the rhetorical celebration of freedom and equality, democratic ideals remain abstract and uninternalized—reduced to slogans and rituals that mask structural inequality. Isaiah Berlin warns that when core political concepts are divorced from reflective engagement, they risk acquiring an unchecked momentum, exerting irrational force over those unable to critique them(Baum & Nichols, 2013, pp. 203–205). In such a context, democracy mutates into a dangerous ideological façade—one that legitimizes new forms of violence and domination.

For India's lower castes, this form of democracy does not liberate—it merely transitions them from the confinement of the "Rooster Coop" into a jungle governed by predatory logic. Balram targets Ashok not because he is the most oppressive figure, but because he is the most vulnerable—weak, helpless, open-faced… a lamb for slaughter. Meanwhile, for the upper castes, democracy is instrumentalized as a mechanism to protect in-

herited privilege. The Stork insists that someone of Balram's background should not drive; the Mongoose repeatedly instructs Ashok to maintain hierarchical control. Even Ashok, seemingly liberal and educated, proves complicit—participating in systemic corruption and using democratic rhetoric to cloak material self-interest. In *The White Tiger*, democracy becomes not the expression of collective agency, but a transactional enterprise—traded in the currency of power, patronage, and profit.

This superficial embrace of modern values—divorced from any genuine internalization—is what Michel Foucault might call a freedom governed by interest. As he writes, "this liberty is far from true natural liberty: on all sides it is constrained and harried by demands opposed to the most legitimate desires of individuals: this is the liberty of interests, of coalitions, of financial combinations, not of man, not of minds and hearts" (Foucault, 2001, p. 213). Ashok embodies this contradiction. Though ostensibly liberal and Western-educated, his worldview is deeply entrenched in caste privilege and economic entitlement. Explaining why he prefers life in India to the U.S., he remarks: "We've got people to take care of us here—our drivers, our watchmen, our masseurs"(Adiga, 2008, p. 89). When a child is killed in a hit-and-run incident, Ashok expresses no remorse. Instead, he manipulates Balram into taking the blame for his wife—a stark display of how self-interest subverts democratic ideals. Ashok's character illustrates a dual allegiance: outward celebration of democracy, coupled with inward indulgence in selfish hedonism and structural domination. He becomes a quintessential figure of what might be termed India's "half-baked elite"—those who symbolically adopt liberal values while materially reinforcing inequality. This reflects a broader phenomenon in India's fractured modernity: a nation composed, as Balram describes, of "two Indias" that are "irreconcilable" in their moral and material realities—one of Light, the other of Darkness. V. S. Naipaul once referred to such societies as "half-made": modern institutions are grafted onto unreformed cultural and social hierarchies, resulting in a contradictory state of partial transformation(Jussawalla, 1997, p. 136). *The White Tiger* renders this "half-made" condition with vivid clarity: modern liberal democracy coexists with, but never fully displaces, deeply rooted traditions. The result is a society defined by ideological fragmentation and moral schizophrenia, in which democratic rhetoric serves to cloak ever-more efficient forms of violence. In this setting, freedom mutates into a tool of domination, and survival is governed by a jungle logic more brutal than nature itself.

The widespread emergence of the "half-baked" subject in *The White Tiger* is rooted in the enduring architecture of India's power structure. A potent symbol of this is the "Black Fort"(Adiga, 2008, p. 190), a recurring motif in Balram's childhood, looming over his village from a hilltop. Its origin is shrouded in imperial ambiguity—perhaps built by Turks, Afghans, or the British—yet its function is unmistakably consistent: it represents the timeless, oppressive edifice of authority. As Balram recalls, "The Black Fort stands on the crest of a hill overlooking the village... The Turks, or the Afghans, or the English, or whichever foreigners were then ruling India, must have built the fort centuries ago"(Adiga, 2008, p. 21). The Fort, described by Kusum as housing "an enormous lizard, the biggest in the whole world"(Adiga, 2008, p. 40), becomes a gothic metaphor for the monstrous persistence of domination. In colonial times, this domination was legitimized by caste; in postcolonial India, it is rearticulated through elite nationalism and economic neoliberalism. The fort's continued symbolic presence suggests that while formal colonial rule has ended, its disciplinary logic has not. As Partha Chatterjee argues, "our history of modernization is deeply entangled with the history of colonialism"(Chatterjee, 1997, p. 14). Indian nationalist elites, far from dismantling the colonial apparatus, often internalized and reproduced its mechanisms of control. As a result, postcolonial governance continues to operate within colonial frameworks—perpetuating hierarchical exclusion under the guise of democratic modernity. The Black Fort thus materializes the continuity of coercive sovereignty. It towers as a visual and psychological reminder that power in India remains inaccessible to the subaltern, no matter the regime. Even after independence, Balram and others like him remain locked in structures they cannot name but can always feel—trapped beneath layers of inherited authority, displaced agency, and symbolic violence. This continuity reveals the tragic irony of India's postcolonial condition: that modernization has not supplanted colonial domination, but extended it through new forms of elite stewardship and cultural mimicry.

Colonial modernity in *The White Tiger* may have replaced the "Rooster Coop" with a "zoo," and rendered the Black Fort obsolete in material terms, but the symbolic foundations of political power remain intact. As Balram bitterly observes, "India has never been free. First the Muslims, then the British bossed us around. In 1947 the British left, but only a moron would think that we became free then" (Adiga, 2008, p. 22). Postcolonial India inherits the apparatus of domination even as it discards its external signs. The Black Fort may now be abandoned, but its logic—hierarchy, exclusion, and surveillance—persists. Balram's view from atop the Fort, where he sees only monkeys frolicking below, encapsulates the subaltern condition: the common people are spectators, not participants, in the theater of political power. Democracy promises inclusion but delivers distance; freedom is declared but not distributed. In this climate, individuals are denied the conditions for self-governance and moral maturity. As a result, they drift between worldviews, trapped in incoherence and ambivalence—what the novel repeatedly calls "half-baked." More critically, under such repressive political structures, individuals relate to one another not as citizens but as competitors in a relentless struggle for dominance. Hierarchy becomes internalized, and the pursuit of positional advantage replaces any collective ethical framework. This logic fuels a brutal social Darwinism, in which violence is normalized and ideologies remain mutually alienated. The outcome is a fractured polity—where the old and new coexist without synthesis, and the boundaries between light and darkness blur. The result is a "half-made" political modernity: nei-

ther fully reformed nor entirely ruptured, a system that reproduces inequality under the sign of emancipation.

Balram's "half-baked" coming-of-age is not merely recounted—it is performed, strategically addressed to the Premier of China. This narrative framing underscores a deliberate act of self-construction, imbued with both rhetorical calculation and psychological appeal. As Wayne C. Booth suggests, " inside views can build sympathy even for the most vicious character"(Booth, 1987, p. 378); and as Michael Sprinker warns, the danger of all self-reflexive discourse lies in its tendency to justify misrecognition as necessity and significance(Sprinker, 1980, p. 334). In *The White Tiger*, Balram's voice oscillates between confession and justification, carefully softening the violence of his actions through charismatic narration and selective memory. This narratorial strategy not only masks the moral deformation of the protagonist but also allegorizes a broader national condition. Balram's repression of his cultural and historical inheritance mirrors India's own uneasy disavowal of its past in its pursuit of modernity. The novel thereby stages a double crisis: the individual's descent into ethical ambiguity, and the nation's entanglement in a "half-made" modernization project. By aligning Balram's fractured identity with the contradictions of a postcolonial Indian society, *The White Tiger* exposes the dual misrecognition—personal and national—that afflicts many postcolonial states. For other Global South nations, this narrative holds profound implications. In a globalized world, where the pressures of Western capitalist modernity often collide with indigenous cultural foundations, the challenge remains urgent: how can societies reconcile inherited traditions with imported frameworks? How can modernization be reimagined not as mimicry, but as a coherent and self-reflective process? *The White Tiger* ultimately compels its readers—especially those in similarly transitional contexts—to confront the cost of developmental shortcuts, and to reconsider what it truly means to modernize with dignity, continuity, and ethical clarity.

CONCLUSION

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* offers a searing critique of India's postcolonial modernization through the life and rebellion of its protagonist, Balram Halwai. On the surface, India's adoption of Western-style liberal democracy following the end of British colonial rule appears to offer a pathway out of traditional caste oppression. Yet the novel reveals a deeper truth: entrenched hierarchies and traditional power structures have not been dismantled by modern reforms. Instead, they persist—transfigured into more insidious, pervasive forms—rendering democracy a mere façade and transforming freedom into a vehicle for new forms of violence and control. Balram's personal trajectory exemplifies the tragedy of this "failed modernity." His desire to escape the "Rooster Coop" and claim individual dignity leads him into a moral quagmire, where liberation can only be attained through violence, deception, and ethical compromise. In the process, he does not abolish the system that oppressed him—he becomes its latest enforcer. The India depicted in the novel transitions from a rigid caste-bound "coop" to a neoliberal capitalist "jungle," where power no longer relies on overt coercion but operates through everyday routines, silent domination, and psychological discipline. Violence becomes internalized, freedom becomes predatory, and democracy becomes a mechanism of systemic reproduction rather than emancipation. *The White Tiger* thus illuminates the paradox of postcolonial modernity: the more the nation seeks to modernize, the more deeply it becomes ensnared in old and new forms of domination.

More profoundly, the "half-baked" condition embodied by Balram—marked by cognitive contradiction and moral immaturity—extends beyond the individual to reflect a broader spiritual malaise within Indian modernity. The novel captures a society caught in perpetual tension: between tradition and modernity, freedom and domination, rationality and instinct. These unresolved dualities generate a sustained epistemic and ethical disorientation, giving rise to social conflict and personal tragedy. This fractured mental state is not incidental; it is the product of India's enduring entanglement with its colonial legacy and premodern hierarchies. The failure to fully decolonize institutional structures and cultural consciousness has left the modernization process incomplete, distorted, and disjointed. As a result, *The White Tiger* portrays a society in which modern forms exist without modern foundations—producing subjects who can imitate the gestures of emancipation but remain trapped in the logic of subjugation.

What *The White Tiger* reveals is not simply a failure of governance, but a deeper crisis in political modernity. The formalization of democracy, the vulgarization of freedom, and the superficiality of political consciousness together constitute the inner collapse of India's democratic project. Through protagonist's fractured journey, Aravind Adiga interrogates a central dilemma of modernity: what happens when a society, still structurally bound by inequality, and inherited hierarchies, attempts to transplant democratic institutions wholesale? The result is a political order where freedom is formal but hollow, and democracy is not an emancipatory force but a legitimizing mechanism for structural violence. When modern frameworks fail to address entrenched structural realities, democracy loses its ethical legitimacy and freedom degenerates into a mechanism of domination. Adiga's critique thus extends beyond India to issue a cautionary message for all nations:: when democratic form is adopted without attending to the substantive foundations of justice, equality, and social transformation, democracy itself becomes complicit in the reproduction of domination.

Funding This research was funded by the Ministry of Education (Grant No. 24YJA752004). The funding bodies had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, or decision to publish.

Author Contributions Wufeng Lei designed the study and developed the research plan. Wufeng Lei and Shanshan Yang drafted the manuscript, with all authors contributing to revisions. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Author Disclosures All authors must declare whether they have any conflicts of interest.

Reference

1. Adiga, A. (2008). *The White Tiger*. Atlantic Books.
2. Bapuji, H., Chrispal, S., Attri, P. S., Ertug, G., & Soundararajan, V. (2024). An Integrative Review of Management Research on Caste: Broadening Our Horizons. *Academy of Management Annals*, *18*(2), 506–549. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2022.0208
3. Baum, B. D., & Nichols, R. (2013). Isaiah Berlin and the Politics of Freedom: "Two Concepts of Liberty" 50 Years Later. Routledge.
4. Birch, D. (2012). Paradoxes of Success in Nineteenth Century Writing. *Essays in Criticism*, *62*(4), 373–395. https://doi.org/10.1093/escrit/cgs014
5. Booth, W. C. (1987). The Rhetoric Of Fiction. Second Edition. Penguin Books.
6. Bose, S. (1997). Modernity, Nation, Religion: The Making of a Subcontinental Predicament. *The Brown Journal of World Affairs*, *4*(2), 151–162.
7. Chatterjee, P. (1997). The Present History of West Bengal: Essays in Political Criticism. Oxford University Press.
8. Foucault, M. (2001). Madness and Civilization: A History of Insanity in the Age of Reason. Random House.
9. Jodhka, S. S. (2018). *Caste in Contemporary India*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
10. Jussawalla, F. (Ed.). (1997). *Conversations with V. S. Naipaul*. University Press of Mississippi.
11. Khan, R. H. (2024). Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger (2008): Climate Coloniality and the Interface Between Humans and Non-humans. *ISLE: Interdisciplinary Studies in Literature and Environment*, isae028. https://doi.org/10.1093/isle/isae028
12. Lochner, L. (2014). The Politics of Precarity: Contesting Neoliberalism's Subjects in Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger. *English Academy Review*, *31*(2), 35–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/10131752.2014.965414
13. Nandi, S. (2017). Narrative Ambiguity and the Neoliberal Bildungsroman in Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger. *Journal of Narrative Theory*, *47*(2), 276–301.
14. Ratti, M. (2020). Justice, subalternism, and literary justice: Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger. *The Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, *55*(2), 228–245. https://doi.org/10.1177/0021989418777853
15. Robin, C. (2004). Fear: The History of a Political Idea. Oxford University Press.
16. Roohi, S. (2016). [Review of Review of Caste in Contemporary India, by S. S. Jodhka]. Sociological Bulletin, 65(2), 286–288.
17. Schumpeter, J. A. (1976). Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy. Routledge.
18. Sebastian, A. J. (2009). Poor-Rich Divide in Aravind Adiga's The White Tiger. *Journal of Alternative Perspectives in the Social Sciences*, *1*(2), 229–245.
19. Slater, R. (2019). Chapter III. The Challenge of Hindu Relativism. In *World Religions and World Community* (pp. 47–86). Columbia University Press. https://www.degruyterbrill.com/document/doi/10.7312/slat94684-004/html
20. Sprinker, M. (1980). Fictions of the Self: The End of Autobiography. In *Autobiography: Essays Theoretical and Practical*. Princeton University Press.
21. Svendsen, L. (2008). *A Philosophy of Fear*. Reaktion Books.